

---

# **ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION REPORT**

## **2025 2<sup>nd</sup> SEMIANNUAL EVENT TEXAS STATE CCR RULE**

### **H.W. Pirkey Power Plant West Bottom Ash Pond Registration No. CCR104 Hallsville, Texas**

*Prepared for*

**American Electric Power**  
1 Riverside Plaza  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-2372

*Prepared by*

Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.  
500 West Wilson Bridge Road, Suite 250  
Worthington, Ohio 43085

Project CHA1147I

March 2026

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY .....	1
1.1 CCR Rule Requirements .....	1
1.2 Demonstration of Alternative Sources .....	2
2. SUMMARY OF SITE CONDITIONS.....	3
2.1 WBAP Design and Construction.....	3
2.2 Regional Geology / Site Hydrogeology .....	3
2.3 Groundwater Monitoring History and Flow Conditions .....	4
3. ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION .....	5
4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	8
5. REFERENCES .....	9

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Summary of Key Cobalt Analytical Data
Table 2	Soil Cobalt Data
Table 3	AD-28 Mineralogy Results
Table 4	B-3 X-Ray Diffraction Results

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Potentiometric Contours: Uppermost Aquifer September 2025
Figure 2	Cobalt Distribution in Groundwater
Figure 3	Cobalt Distribution in Soil
Figure 4	B-3 Visual Boring Log

## LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A	Geologic Cross Section A-A'
Attachment B	Surface Water Analytical Data
Attachment C	SB-28 Boring Log
Attachment D	SB-28 Boring Photographic Log
Attachment E	SEM/EDS Analysis
Attachment F	Certification by a Qualified Professional Engineer

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

Å	angstrom
AEP	American Electric Power
amsl	above mean sea level
ASD	alternative source demonstration
bgs	below ground surface
CCR	coal combustion residuals
EBAP	East Bottom Ash Pond
EDS	energy-dispersive spectroscopy
EPRI	Electric Power Research Institute
ft	feet
GWPS	groundwater protection standard
LCL	lower confidence limit
mg/kg	milligram per kilogram
mg/L	milligram per liter
SEM	scanning electron microscopy
SPLP	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure
SSL	statistically significant level
TAC	Texas Administrative Code
TCEQ	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
VAP	vertical aquifer profiling
WBAP	West Bottom Ash Pond
XRD	X-ray diffraction

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This alternative source demonstration (ASD) report has been prepared to address a statistically significant level (SSL) for cobalt in the groundwater monitoring network for the former West Bottom Ash Pond (WBAP), located at the H.W. Pirkey Plant (Site) in Hallsville, Texas, following the second semiannual assessment monitoring event of 2025. The H.W. Pirkey Plant has four coal combustion residuals (CCR) storage units regulated by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) under Registration No. CCR104 (**Figure 1**). Three of the units, including the former WBAP, have been closed by removal, and one unit is still active.

In September 2025, a semiannual assessment monitoring event was conducted at the former WBAP in accordance with the Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Title 30 §352.951(a) [30 TAC §352.951(a)]. The monitoring data was submitted to Groundwater Stats Consulting, LLC for statistical analysis. Confidence intervals were recalculated for the Appendix IV parameters at the compliance wells to assess whether these parameters were present at SSLs above the groundwater protection standards (GWPSs). An SSL was concluded if the lower confidence limit (LCL) of a parameter exceeded the GWPS (i.e., if the entire confidence interval exceeded the GWPS). The following SSL was identified at the former WBAP (Geosyntec 2025b):

- The LCL for cobalt exceeded the GWPS of 0.00900 milligrams per liter (mg/L) at AD-28 (0.0133 mg/L).

No other SSLs were identified.

### 1.1 CCR Rule Requirements

TCEQ regulations regarding assessment monitoring programs for CCR landfills and surface impoundments provide owners and operators with the option to make an ASD when an SSL is identified:

In making a demonstration under this subsection, the owner or operator must, within 90 days of detecting a statistically significant level above the groundwater protection standard of any constituent listed in Appendix IV adopted by reference in §352.1431 of this title, submit a report prepared and certified in accordance with §352.4 of this title (relating to Engineering and Geoscientific Information) to the executive director, and any local pollution agency with jurisdiction that has requested to be notified, demonstrating that a source other than a CCR unit caused the exceedance or that the exceedance resulted from error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in groundwater quality. (30 TAC §352.951(e))

Pursuant to 30 TAC §352.951(e), Geosyntec Consultants (Geosyntec) has prepared this ASD report to document that the SSL identified for cobalt at well AD-28 in the groundwater monitoring network for the WBAP is from a source other than the former WBAP.

## 1.2 Demonstration of Alternative Sources

An evaluation was completed to assess possible alternative sources to which each identified SSL could be attributed. Alternative sources were categorized into the following five types, based on methodology provided by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI 2017):

- ASD Type I: Sampling Causes
- ASD Type II: Laboratory Causes
- ASD Type III: Statistical Evaluation Causes
- ASD Type IV: Natural Variation
- ASD Type V: Anthropogenic Source

A demonstration was conducted to show that the SSL identified for cobalt was based on a Type IV cause and not by a release from the former Pirkey WBAP.

## 2. SUMMARY OF SITE CONDITIONS

The WBAP design and construction, regional geology and Site hydrogeology, and groundwater monitoring system and flow conditions are described below.

### 2.1 WBAP Design and Construction

The WBAP was a 30.9-acre CCR surface impoundment located at the north end of the Pirkey Plant, immediately west of the East Bottom Ash Pond (EBAP) (**Figure 1**). It was constructed while the Pirkey Plant was being developed in 1983 and 1984 and placed into operation in 1985 to receive bottom ash and economizer ash sluiced from the Plant boiler (Arcadis 2016). The Pirkey Power Plant placed CCR and non-CCR waste streams into the pond complex, alternating between the EBAP and WBAP. Bottom ash generated at the plant was sluiced to one of the ponds (the active pond) until it was close to full. Bottom ash in the inactive pond was drained and dewatered and then removed from the pond. Dry ash was loaded into trucks and transported to the Landfill. It typically took approximately twelve months for the active pond to fill, at which time the second pond (which has been emptied of bottom ash) became the active pond, and the first pond was then drained.

A Closure Plan was developed in October 2016 and revised in December 2021 (AEP 2021) and February 2025 (AEP 2025). This document detailed the closure activities which were to take place throughout the closure of the WBAP. AEP submitted a certified notification that the receipt of CCR materials had ceased as of March 30, 2022, and the closure activities had been initiated (AEP 2022). At that time, the closure of the WBAP commenced by removal in accordance with the 2021 Closure Plan, with CCR material removal occurring from April to June of 2022. The final inspection for CCR material removal was completed on July 26, 2022. On May 5, 2023, the WBAP was certified closed by removal in accordance with 30 TAC §352.1221 and the 2021 Closure Plan, and notification was placed in the Operating Record (AEP 2023a).

The former WBAP was constructed with compacted clay embankments around the pond perimeter and a compacted clay liner over the pond base (Arcadis 2016). Multiple lithological borings advanced following installation of the clay liner confirmed that at least 6 feet (ft) of clay was present below the base of the former EBAP (Arcadis 2016). The bottom elevation of the former WBAP was approximately 347 ft above mean sea level (amsl), and the elevation of the top of the pond embankment was approximately 357 ft amsl prior to pond closure.

### 2.2 Regional Geology / Site Hydrogeology

The former WBAP was positioned on an outcrop of the Eocene-age Recklaw Formation, which consists predominantly of clay and fine-grained sand (Arcadis 2016). The Recklaw Formation is underlain by the Carrizo Sand, which crops out in the topographically lower southern portion of the Site. The Carrizo Sand consists of fine to medium grained sand interbedded with silt and clay.

The very-fine- to fine-grained clayey and silty sand found beneath an upper silty to silty sandy clay layer in the vicinity of the former WBAP is considered to be the Uppermost Aquifer below this CCR unit (Arcadis 2016). In the vicinity of the WBAP it is approximately 15-ft thick and located between an elevation of 325 and 340 ft amsl.

### 2.3 Groundwater Monitoring History and Flow Conditions

The monitoring well network for the former WBAP monitors groundwater within the uppermost aquifer. Geologic cross-section A-A' from Arcadis (2016), provided as **Attachment A**, shows the subsurface structure of the uppermost aquifer (indicated on the figure as clayey silty sand, tan to gray) underlying the former WBAP and the former EBAP. Geologic cross-section A-A' demonstrates lateral continuity of the uppermost aquifer spanning the entire length of the former WBAP.

Groundwater flow direction in the area of the former WBAP is west-southwesterly (**Figure 1**). Seasonal variability in groundwater flow has not been observed since the monitoring well network was installed. Groundwater flow gradients in the uppermost aquifer are approximately 0.01 ft per ft. The monitoring well network for the former WBAP unit consists of upgradient monitoring wells AD-3, AD-12, and AD-18 and compliance wells AD-17, AD-28, and AD-30, all of which are screened within the uppermost aquifer at depths ranging from 10 to 57 ft below ground surface (ft bgs) (301 to 348 ft amsl). Groundwater elevations at the unit have ranged from approximately 325 to 370 ft amsl (approximately 10 to 35 ft bgs depending on well location).

### 3. ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION

An initial review of Site geochemistry, Site historical data, and laboratory quality assurance and quality control data did not identify alternative sources for cobalt due to Type I (sampling), Type II (laboratory), Type III (statistical evaluation), or Type V (anthropogenic) issues. Groundwater sampling, laboratory analysis, and statistical evaluations were generally completed in accordance with 30 TAC §352.931 and the draft TCEQ guidance for groundwater monitoring (TCEQ 2020). As described below, the SSLs have been attributed to natural variation associated with the underlying geology, which is a Type IV (natural variation) issue.

Monitoring well AD-28 is located near the southwest corner of the former WBAP, as shown in **Figure 1**. Previous ASDs for cobalt at the former WBAP provided evidence that cobalt is present in the aquifer geologic media at the Site and that the observed cobalt concentrations in groundwater were due to natural variation of native geogenic sources (Geosyntec 2025c)<sup>1</sup>. The previous ASDs demonstrated that the former WBAP did not appear to be a source for cobalt in downgradient groundwater, based on observed concentrations of cobalt both in the ash material and in leachate from Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure (SPLP) analysis (SW-864 Test Method 1312, [United States Environmental Protection Agency, USEPA 1994]) of the ash material. Cobalt was not detected in the most recent SPLP ash leachate sample (collected in 2019) below the reporting limit of 0.01 mg/L, which is lower than the average concentration at AD-28 (0.0141 mg/L) (**Table 1**).

Cobalt was detected at a concentration of 0.000501 mg/L in a surface water sample collected from the WBAP on November 4, 2020 (**Attachment B**). Cobalt was also detected in a surface water sample collected on February 28, 2023 from the EBAP at a concentration of 0.0035 mg/L (**Table 1; Attachment B**). Both the WBAP and EBAP have been closed by removal since the samples were collected (AEP 2023a, AEP 2023b). As discussed in Section 2.1, the EBAP and WBAP had historically received the same process water, with the use of each pond dependent on available freeboard and cleaning schedule; thus, there is a basis for the equivalency between these two surface water samples. These concentrations are lower than the reported cobalt concentrations for downgradient network wells from the most recent sampling event (**Figure 2**). Additionally, cobalt concentrations in both pond surface water samples were over an order of magnitude lower than the average concentration observed at AD-28 (**Table 1**). Therefore, the former WBAP is not the likely source of cobalt at AD-28.

As noted in the previous ASDs, soil samples collected across the Site, including from locations near the former WBAP, identified cobalt in the aquifer solids at concentrations ranging from non-detect to 23.5 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) with the highest value reported at AD-41, which

---

<sup>1</sup> A citation is provided for the most recently completed ASD addressing the source of aqueous cobalt concentrations at the WBAP groundwater monitoring network. Additional previous ASD reports have also presented this discussion, and references to those reports are included in a separate previous ASD (Geosyntec 2025a).

is upgradient of the WBAP and EBAP (**Figure 3**). SB-28 was advanced in the vicinity of AD-28 in April 2020 to re-log the geology at AD-28 and collect samples for laboratory analysis of total metals and mineralogy. The SB-28 field boring log, which was generated by Auckland Consulting LLC, is provided as **Attachment C**. Cobalt was identified at SB-28 at concentrations of 4.53 mg/kg at 15.5-16 ft bgs and 8.70 mg/kg at 40-41 ft bgs (**Table 2**). The 15.5-16 ft bgs interval at SB-28 correlates to the depth of the monitoring well screen of AD-28 (15-35 ft bgs), indicating that naturally occurring cobalt is present in aquifer solids within the AD-28 screened interval.

In addition to the analysis of total cobalt, soil samples were submitted for mineralogical analysis to evaluate the presence of cobalt-containing minerals. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis of soils from SB-28 identified pyrite (an iron sulfide mineral) in samples collected at 25-30 ft bgs and 40-41 ft bgs at concentrations up to 3% by weight (**Table 3**). Cobalt is known to undergo isomorphic substitution for iron in crystalline iron minerals such as pyrite due to their similar ionic radii of approximately 1.56 angstrom (Å) for iron vs. 1.52 Å for cobalt (Clementi and Raimondi 1963, Krupka and Serne 2002, Hitzman et al. 2017). The presence of iron-bearing minerals in soil near the former WBAP constitutes a potential source of naturally occurring cobalt.

The aquifer solids at SB-28 are distinctly red in color at shallow depths, as illustrated in the photolog of soil cores provided in **Attachment D**. Red color in soils is often associated with the presence of oxidized iron-bearing minerals such as hematite and goethite. Goethite, an iron oxide mineral (FeOOH), was present at depths up to 16 ft bgs at SB-28 at up to 37% of the total aquifer solids (**Table 3**). The weathering of pyrite to goethite under oxidizing conditions is a well-understood phenomenon, including in formations in east Texas (Senkayi et al. 1986, Dixon et al. 1982). Pyrite weathering processes likely result in the release of isomorphically substituted cobalt from the pyrite crystal structure as the mineral undergoes oxidative weathering to iron oxide minerals such as goethite.

As described in previous ASDs for the former WBAP, vertical aquifer profiling (VAP) was completed in May 2019 to collect groundwater samples from upgradient locations B-2 and B-3 during the soil boring and sample collection process (Geosyntec 2019). A groundwater sample was also collected from AD-30, one of the existing compliance wells within the WBAP groundwater monitoring network. Solid phase materials within these groundwater samples were separated and submitted for analysis of chemical composition and mineralogy. For the VAP samples, separation was completed using a centrifuge due to the high abundance of suspended solids. For the groundwater sample at AD-30, the sample was filtered using a 1.5-micron filter. Based on total metals analysis, cobalt was identified both in the centrifuged solid material collected from upgradient VAP location B-3 [VAP-B3-(40-45)] and in the material retained on the filter after processing groundwater from permanent monitoring wells AD-30, B-2, and B-3 (**Table 2**). The concentrations of cobalt in the solid material retained after filtration were comparable to the bulk soil samples collected from the same locations. This material is expected to be captured as suspended particulates in the groundwater samples collected from the monitoring well network, contributing to aqueous cobalt concentrations.

The solid sample [VAP-B3-(40-45)] was submitted for mineralogical analysis via XRD and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using an energy dispersive spectroscopic analyzer (EDS). The XRD results identified pyrite as approximately 3% of the solid phase (**Table 4**). Pyrite was identified during SEM/EDS analysis of lignite which is mined immediately adjacent to the Site. Logging completed while the VAP boring was advanced identified coal at several intervals, including 45 and 48 ft bgs (**Figure 4**). Furthermore, SEM/EDS of both centrifuged solid samples [VAP-B3-(40-45) and VAP-B3-(50-55)] identified pyrite in backscattered electron micrographs by the distinctive framboidal morphology (Harris et al. 1981, Sawlowicz 2000). Major peaks associated with iron and sulfur were identified in the EDS spectrum, which further confirm the identification of pyrite (**Attachment E**). While cobalt was not identified in the EDS spectrum, it is likely present at concentrations below the detection limit.

The former WBAP was not identified as the source of cobalt at wells in the WBAP monitoring well network based on the low concentrations of cobalt in the pond itself and the ubiquity of naturally occurring cobalt in the aquifer formation, especially in soil and groundwater samples upgradient from the WBAP. Cobalt in the WBAP network groundwater is believed to be a function of natural variability within the aquifer. Naturally occurring cobalt is known to substitute for iron in iron-bearing minerals. The presence of iron sulfide (as pyrite) and iron oxides/hydroxides has been confirmed at AD-28 and across the Site. The weathering of pyrite to iron oxide/hydroxide minerals constitutes a natural mechanism of cobalt release into groundwater from the crystalline structure of these aquifer minerals.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The preceding information serves as the ASD prepared in accordance with 30 TAC §352.951(e) and supports the position that the SSL for cobalt identified at AD-28 during the semiannual assessment monitoring in September 2025 was not due to a release from the former WBAP. The identified SSL should instead be attributed to natural variation in the underlying geology, including the presence of pyrite and iron oxide minerals (e.g., goethite) in the solid aquifer material. Therefore, no further action is warranted. Certification of this ASD by a qualified professional engineer is provided in **Attachment F**.

## 5. REFERENCES

- AEP. 2021. Closure Plan. East and West Bottom Ash Ponds – Pirkey Power Plant, Hallsville, Texas. December.
- AEP, 2022. Notification of Intent to Close a CCR Unit. Pirkey Power Plant, West Bottom Ash Pond. Revision 1, May.
- AEP, 2023a. Closure Completion Notification for Closure by Removal. Henry W. Pirkey Plant, West Bottom Ash Pond. May.
- AEP, 2023b. Closure Completion Notification for Closure by Removal. Henry W. Pirkey Plant, East Bottom Ash Pond. October.
- AEP. 2025. Closure Plan. East and West Bottom Ash Ponds – Pirkey Power Plant, Hallsville, Texas. February.
- Arcadis, 2016. West Bottom Ash Pond – CCR Groundwater Monitoring Well Network Evaluation. H.W. Pirkey Power Plant. May.
- Clementi, E., and Raimondi, D. L. 1963. Atomic screening constants from SCF functions. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 38, 2686.
- Dixon, J.B., Hossner, L.R., Senkayi, A.L., and Egashira, K. 1982. Mineral properties of lignite overburden as they relate to mine spoil reclamation. In: J.A. Kittrick, D.S. Fanning, L. R. Hossner, editors, *Acid Sulfate Weathering, SSSA Spec. Publ. 10*. SSSA, Madison, WI. p. 169-191.
- EPRI, 2017. Guidelines for Development of Alternative Source Demonstrations at Coal Combustion Residual Sites. 3002010920. Electric Power Research Institute. October.
- Geosyntec. 2019. Alternative Source Demonstration Report – Federal CCR Rule. H.W. Pirkey Plant, West Bottom Ash Pond. Hallsville, Texas. September.
- Geosyntec, 2025a. Alternative Source Demonstration Report – 2<sup>nd</sup> Semiannual Event 2024. Texas CCR Rule. H.W. Pirkey Plant. West Bottom Ash Pond. Hallsville, Texas. Geosyntec Consultants. March.
- Geosyntec, 2025b. Statistical Analysis Summary – 2025 2<sup>nd</sup> Semiannual Event. West Bottom Ash Pond. H.W. Pirkey Power Plant. Hallsville, Texas. Geosyntec Consultants, Inc. December.
- Geosyntec. 2025c. Alternative Source Demonstration Report – 1<sup>st</sup> Semiannual Event 2025. Texas CCR Rule. H.W. Pirkey Plant. West Bottom Ash Pond. Hallsville, Texas. Geosyntec Consultants. December.
- Harris, L.A, E.A. Kenik, and C.S. Yust. 1981. “Reactions in Pyrite Framboids Induced by Electron Beam Heating in a HVEM.” *Scanning Electron Microscopy* 1: 657–662.
- Hitzman, M.W., A.A. Bookstrom, J.F. Slack, and M.L. Zientek. 2017. Cobalt – Styles of Deposits and the Search for Primary Deposits. United States Geological Survey Open File Report 2017-1155.

- Krupka, K.M., and R.J. Serne. 2002. Geochemical Factors Affecting the Behavior of Antimony, Cobalt, Europium, Technetium, and Uranium in Vadose Sediments. Pacific Northwest National Lab, PNNL-14126. December.
- Sawlowicz, Z. 2000. “Framboids: From Their Origin to Application.” *Mineralogical Transactions* 88. ISSN 0079-3396.
- Senkayi, A.L., J.B. Dixon, and L.R. Hossner. 1986. “Todorokite, Goethite, and Hematite: Alteration Products of Siderite in East Texas Lignite Overburden.” *Soil Science* 142(1): 36–43.
- TCEQ, 2020. Coal Combustion Residuals Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Draft Technical Guideline No. 32. Topic: Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action. Waste Permits Division. May.
- USEPA, 1994. Method 1312 – Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure, Revision 0, September 1994, Final Update to the Third Edition of the Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, EPA publication SW-846.

# TABLES

**Table 1. Summary of Key Cobalt Analytical Data  
Alternative Source Demonstration Report  
West Bottom Ash Pond – H.W. Pirkey Plant**

Sample	Sample Date	Unit	Cobalt Concentration
Bottom Ash (Solid Material)	2/11/2019	mg/kg	5.8
Texas-Specific Soil Background Concentration			7
SPLP Leachate of Bottom Ash	2/11/2019	mg/L	<0.01
WBAP Pond Water	11/4/2020		0.000501
EBAP Pond Water	2/28/2023		0.0035
AD-28 - Average	May 2016 - September 2025		0.0141
Site-Specific Groundwater Protection Standard			0.00900

Notes:

1. Texas-specific soil background concentration from Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Title 30 Chapter 350 (Texas Risk Reduction Program) [table in 30 TAC 350.51(m)].
2. The average value for AD-28 was calculated using all cobalt data collected under Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Title 40 Chapter 257 Subpart D.
3. Site-specific Groundwater Protection Standard from "Statistical Analysis Summary - 2025 2nd Semiannual Event. West Bottom Ash Pond. H.W. Pirkey Plant" (Geosyntec 2025b).

EBAP: East Bottom Ash Pond

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram

mg/L: milligrams per liter

SPLP: synthetic precipitation leaching procedure

WBAP: West Bottom Ash Pond

**Table 2. Soil Cobalt Data**  
**Alternative Source Demonstration Report**  
**West Bottom Ash Pond – H.W. Pirkey Plant**

*Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.*

Location ID	Location	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	Cobalt (mg/kg)
<b>Bulk Soil Samples</b>			
AD-28 (SB-28)	WBAP Network	6-6.5	< 2.38
		15.5-16	4.53
		25-30	< 2.50
		40-41	8.70
AD-30	WBAP Network	7	1.00
		23	15.0
B-2	Upgradient	10	2.36
		16	3.62
		71	10.30
		82	7.21
		87	3.11
B-3	Upgradient	10	1.30
		20	0.59
		97	1.11
AD-41	Upgradient	15	<1.0
		35	23.5
		95	1.90
<b>Solid Material Retained After Filtration</b>			
AD-30	WBAP Network	15-25	9.3 J
B-2	Upgradient	38-48	4.3 J
B-3	Upgradient	29-34	12.0
		VAP 40-45	18.0

Notes:

- For AD-28 and AD-30, samples were collected from additional boreholes advanced in the immediate area of the location identified by the well ID. Samples were not collected from the cuttings of the borings advanced for well installation.
  - Samples at B-2, B-3, and AD-41 were collected from cores removed from the borehole during well lithology logging.
  - Depths for samples collected after filtration represent the screened interval for the permanent well where the sample was collected.
- ft bgs: feet below ground surface  
J: Estimated value. Result is less than the reporting limit but greater than or equal to the method detection limit  
mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram  
VAP: vertical aquifer profile  
WBAP: West Bottom Ash Pond

**Table 3: AD-28 Mineralogy Results**  
**Alternative Source Demonstration Report**  
**West Bottom Ash Pond – H. W. Pirkey Plant**

<b>Boring ID</b>	<b>SB-28 (AD-28)</b>			
<b>Sample Depth Interval</b>	6-6.5	15.5-16	25-30	40-41
<b>Sample Location</b>	Above Screened Interval	Within Screened Interval		Below Screened Interval
<b>Color</b>	Red-brown to yellow-brown	Light gray, light red-brown	Brown, light red-brown	Gray to dark gray
<b>Mineralogy</b>				
Quartz	58%	46%	73%	34%
Pyrite	--	--	3%	3%
K-Feldspar	--	1%	1%	1%
Siderite	--	--	2%	52%
Goethite	37%	15%	--	--
Anhydrite	--	--	--	2%
Clay/Mica	5%	38%	21%	8%

Notes:

1. Sample depths are shown in feet below ground surface (ft bgs)
2. Well AD-28 is screened from 15-35 ft bgs.
3. Mineralogical components are shown in relative percent (%) abundance.

**Table 4. B-3 X-Ray Diffraction Results  
Alternative Source Demonstration Report  
West Bottom Ash Pond – H. W. Pirkey Plant**

<b>Constituent</b>	<b>VAP-B3-(40-45)</b>
Quartz	15
Plagioclase Feldspar	0.5
Orthoclase	ND
Calcite	ND
Dolomite	ND
Siderite	0.5
Goethite	ND
Hematite	2
Pyrite	3
Kaolinite	42
Chlorite	4
Illite/Mica	6
Smectite	12
Amorphous	15


Notes:

1. Results given in units of relative percent (%) abundance.
2. VAP-B3-(40-45) represents the centrifuged solid material from the groundwater sample collected at that interval.

ND: not detected

VAP: vertical aquifer profiling

# FIGURES



**Legend**

**Groundwater Monitoring Wells**

- Out of Network
- East Bottom Ash Pond (EBAP)
- West Bottom Ash Pond (WBAP)
- Landfill
- Flue Gas Desulfurization Stackout Area (FGDSA)
- EBAP and WBAP

- All CCR Unit Networks
- Piezometer
- Groundwater Elevation Contour
- Groundwater Elevation Contour (Inferred)
- Approximate Groundwater Flow Direction

**Notes**

- Monitoring well coordinates and water levels (collected from September 8-10, 2025) provided by AEP.
- Site features based on information available in coal combustion residuals (CCR) Groundwater Monitoring Well Network Evaluation Update (Arcadis 2022) provided by AEP.
- Groundwater elevation units are feet above mean sea level (ft msl).
- Wells AD-29 and W-3 were not gauged during the September 2025 event.
- AD-7R replaced AD-7, which was abandoned on September 12, 2023.
- Wells shaded in gray are within the network but not used for contouring.
- Well AD-34 had artesian characteristics during this event and was not used for contouring.
- AD-35 was abandoned on November 13, 2018 and is not shown on the map.
- Removal of CCR plus one foot of material for the WBAP was completed for on July 26, 2022.
- Removal of CCR plus one foot of material for the EBAP was completed on July 20, 2023.
- Removal of CCR plus one foot of material for the FGDSA was completed on September 18, 2023.
- Map is updated to incorporate Landfill survey data collected on May 1, 2024.
- Aerial imagery provided by the TxGIO DataHub (dated 2024).

1,000 500 0 1,000 Feet

*Beth Ann Gross*

January 19, 2026  
 Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.  
 Texas Firm Registration No. 1182


**Potentiometric Contours: Uppermost Aquifer  
 September 2025**

AEP Pirkey Power Plant  
 Hallsville, Texas

**Geosyntec**  
 consultants

Columbus, Ohio      September 2025

**Figure 1**



**Legend**

- ⊕ Out of Network
- ◆ Stackout Area
- ◆ EBAP
- ◆ WBAP
- ◆ Landfill
- ◆ EBAP and WBAP
- ⊕ All CCR Unit Networks
- ▲ Piezometer
- ▭ EBAP
- ▭ Stack Out Area
- ▭ WBAP

**Notes**

- Monitoring well coordinates, site features, and data provided by AEP.
- AD-15 location is approximated.
- Samples collected in September 2025.
- \* - Well most recently sampled August 2019.
- AD-29 included in the well network for water level measurements only.
- WBAP surface water results shown for November 2020 sample. EBAP surface water results shown for February 2023 sample.
- WBAP: West Bottom Ash Pond
- EBAP: East Bottom Ash Pond
- Aerial imagery provided by ESRI, dated September 19, 2023.

400 200 0 400 Feet


**Cobalt Distribution in Groundwater**

AEP Pirkey Power Plant  
Hallsville, Texas

**Geosyntec**  
consultants

Figure  
**2**

Columbus, Ohio      March 2026



Location	AD-31	
Depth (ft bgs)	12	26
Cobalt (mg/kg)	1.9	0.83
Pyrite/Marcasite (%)	2	0

Location	AD-18	
Depth (ft bgs)	8	22
Cobalt (mg/kg)	3.6	2.9
Pyrite/Marcasite (%)	1	0

Location	B-2				
Depth (ft bgs)	10	16	71	82	87
Cobalt (mg/kg)	2.36	3.62	10.30	7.21	3.11
Pyrite/Marcasite (%)	-	-	-	-	-

Location	AD-17	
Depth (ft bgs)	7	15
Cobalt (mg/kg)	3.1	1.5
Pyrite/Marcasite (%)	2	0

Location	AD-41		
Depth (ft bgs)	15	35	95
Cobalt (mg/kg)	< 1.0	23.5	1.9
Pyrite/Marcasite (%)	-	-	-

Location	AD-28			
Depth (ft bgs)	6 - 6.5	15.5 - 16	25 - 30	40 - 41
Cobalt (mg/kg)	< 2.38	4.53	< 2.50	8.7
Pyrite/Marcasite (%)	0	0	3	3

Location	AD-2	
Depth (ft bgs)	25-27	31-33
Cobalt (mg/kg)	9.45	19.2
Pyrite/Marcasite (%)	7	2

Location	B-3		
Depth (ft bgs)	10	20	97
Cobalt (mg/kg)	1.30	0.59	1.11
Pyrite/Marcasite (%)	-	-	-

Location	AD-30	
Depth (ft bgs)	7	23
Cobalt (mg/kg)	1	15
Pyrite/Marcasite (%)	3	1


Location	AD-32	
Depth (ft bgs)	11	20-25
Cobalt (mg/kg)	1.7	9.1
Pyrite/Marcasite (%)	--	--

**Legend**

- Monitoring Wells
- EBAP
- WBAP

**Notes**

- Monitoring well coordinates provided by AEP.
- AD-2 and AD-28 samples collected on April 20, 2020
- All other data provided by AEP, 2019.
- ft bgs: feet below ground surface.
- mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram.
- -- not analyzed.
- WBAP: West Bottom Ash Pond
- EBAP: East Bottom Ash Pond
- Aerial imagery provided by ESRI and dated September 19, 2023.




**Cobalt Distribution in Soil**

AEP Pirkey Power Plant  
Hallsville, Texas

**Geosyntec**  
consultants

Columbus, Ohio      March 2026

**Figure 3**



Notes:

1. Boring completed May 2019
2. Total depth of 97.5 ft bgs
3. Well installed in offset boring screened at 29-34 ft bgs  
ft: feet  
bgs: below ground surface

**B-3 Visual Boring Log**

AEP Pirkey Power Plant  
Hallsville, TX

**Geosyntec**  
consultants

**Figure**


**4**

CHA11471

March 2026


# ATTACHMENT A

## Geologic Cross Section A-A'



**Legend**

- CCR Boundary
- ◆ Monitoring Well/Piezometer
- Plugged Monitoring Well/Piezometer
- Soil Boring
- Line of Geologic Cross Section
- \* Non-CCR Unit



PIRKEY POWER PLANT 2400 FM 3251 HALLSVILLE, HARRISON COUNTY, TEXAS	
<b>SITE LAYOUT AND WELL LOCATIONS</b>	
	FIGURE <b>3</b>



# **ATTACHMENT B**

## Surface Water Analytical Data



Dolan Chemical Laboratory  
4001 Bixby Road  
Groveport, OH 43125  
T: 614-836-4221, Audinet 210-4221  
F: 614-836-4168, Audinet 210-4168  
<http://aepenv/labs>

### Water Analysis

**Location: Pirkey PS**

**Report Date: 11/18/2020**

#### WBAP

**Sample Number: 203186-001**

**Date Collected: 11/04/2020 12:20**

**Date Received: 11/5/2020**

Parameter	Result	Units	Data Qual	RL	MDL	Analysis By	Analysis Date/Time	Method
Cobalt, Co	0.501	ug/L		0.05	0.02	JDB	11/07/2020 05:47	EPA 200.8-1994, Rev. 5.4
Mercury, Hg	< 0.002	ug/L	U	0.005	0.002	JAB	11/12/2020	EPA 245.7-2005, Rev. 2.0
Calcium, Ca	259	mg/L		0.3	0.1	DAM	11/10/2020 13:58	EPA 200.7-1994, Rev. 4.4
Lithium, Li	0.0274	mg/L		0.0002	0.00005	JDB	11/07/2020 05:47	EPA 200.8-1994, Rev. 5.4
Magnesium, Mg	25.9	mg/L		0.1	0.02	DAM	11/10/2020 13:58	EPA 200.7-1994, Rev. 4.4
Potassium, K	20.8	mg/L		1	0.2	DAM	11/10/2020 13:58	EPA 200.7-1994, Rev. 4.4
Sodium, Na	478	mg/L		0.5	0.1	DAM	11/10/2020 13:58	EPA 200.7-1994, Rev. 4.4
Alkalinity, as CaCO3	10	mg/L	J	20	5	MGK	11/05/2020 16:53	SM 2320B-2011
Chloride, Cl	57.4	mg/L		1	0.3	CRJ	11/10/2020 16:01	EPA 300.1-1997, Rev. 1.0
Sulfate, SO4	1650	mg/L		10	2	CRJ	11/10/2020 16:01	EPA 300.1-1997, Rev. 1.0

U: Analyte was analyzed and not detected at or above adjusted Method Detection Limit

J: Analyte was positively identified, though the quantitation was below Reporting Limit.

**Michael Ohlinger, Chemist**

Email [msohlinger@aep.com](mailto:msohlinger@aep.com) Tel.

Fax 614-836-4168 Audinet 8-210-

**THIS TEST REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED AND SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE LABORATORY. ALL TEST RESULTS MEET ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ACCREDITING AUTHORITY, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.**



# Water Analysis Report

Dolan Chemical Laboratory  
4001 Bixby Road  
Groveport, OH 43125  
Phone: 614-836-4221  
Audinet: 210-4221

Job ID: 230659

Customer: Pirkey Power Station

Date Reported: 04/06/2023

Customer Sample ID: EBAP

Customer Description: TG-32

Lab Number: 230659-003

Preparation:

Date Collected: 03/01/2023 00:23 EST

Date Received: 03/02/2023 10:30 EST

## Ion Chromatography

Parameter	Result	Units	Dilution	RL	MDL	Data Qualifiers	Analyst	Analysis Date	Method
Bromide	0.59	mg/L	5	0.25	0.05		CRJ	03/16/2023 13:42	EPA 300.1 -1997, Rev. 1.0
Chloride	84.5	mg/L	5	0.10	0.05		CRJ	03/16/2023 13:42	EPA 300.1 -1997, Rev. 1.0
Fluoride	0.56	mg/L	5	0.15	0.05		CRJ	03/16/2023 13:42	EPA 300.1 -1997, Rev. 1.0
Sulfate	2780	mg/L	100	20	3		CRJ	03/16/2023 19:11	EPA 300.1 -1997, Rev. 1.0

## Wet Chemistry

Parameter	Result	Units	Dilution	RL	MDL	Data Qualifiers	Analyst	Analysis Date	Method
Alkalinity, as CaCO3	<5	mg/L	1	20	5	U1	MGK	03/03/2023 11:26	SM 2320B-2011
TDS, Filterable Residue	3900	mg/L	20	1000	400		SDW	03/07/2023 10:50	SM 2540C-2015

Customer Sample ID: Leachate

Customer Description: TG-32

Lab Number: 230659-004

Preparation:

Date Collected: 02/28/2023 10:55 EST

Date Received: 03/02/2023 10:30 EST

## Ion Chromatography

Parameter	Result	Units	Dilution	RL	MDL	Data Qualifiers	Analyst	Analysis Date	Method
Bromide	1.82	mg/L	5	0.25	0.05		CRJ	03/16/2023 14:15	EPA 300.1 -1997, Rev. 1.0
Chloride	41.7	mg/L	5	0.10	0.05		CRJ	03/16/2023 14:15	EPA 300.1 -1997, Rev. 1.0
Fluoride	0.47	mg/L	5	0.15	0.05		CRJ	03/16/2023 14:15	EPA 300.1 -1997, Rev. 1.0
Sulfate	329	mg/L	50	10	2		CRJ	03/16/2023 21:23	EPA 300.1 -1997, Rev. 1.0

## Wet Chemistry

Parameter	Result	Units	Dilution	RL	MDL	Data Qualifiers	Analyst	Analysis Date	Method
Alkalinity, as CaCO3	94	mg/L	1	20	5		MGK	03/03/2023 11:26	SM 2320B-2011
TDS, Filterable Residue	600	mg/L	20	1000	400	J1	SDW	03/03/2023 12:09	SM 2540C-2015

# ATTACHMENT C

## SB-28 Boring Log

PROJECT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ PROJ. \_\_\_\_\_ BOR. NO. SB-28  
 LOCATION AD-28/MW-28 - Pirkey Power Plant ELEV. \_\_\_\_\_ DATE 4/20/20

SILTS & SANDS		COHESIVE SOILS - CLAYS			COLORS		MATERIALS		SAND ADJ.		CHARACTERISTICS		
CONDITION		CONSISTENCY		PENETROMETER	N - VALUE	Li ... Light ... Br ... Brown		Cl ... Clay, Clayey		F ... Fine		Calc ... Calcareous	
VLo ... Very Loose	0-4	Vso... Very Soft	0 - 0.25	0 - 0.25	< 2	Dk ... Dark ... Bk ... Black	Si ... Silt, Silty	M ... Medium	Co ... Coarse	Org ... Organic	Lam ... Laminate	SL ... Slickensided	Sm(s) ... Seam(s)
Lo ... Loose	4-10	So ... Soft	0.25 - 0.5	0.25 - 0.5	2 - 4	G ... Grey ... Bl ... Blue	Sa ... Sand, Sandy	Co ... Coarse	Si ... Silty	Lam ... Laminate	SL ... Slightly	Nod ... Nodules	
MDe ... Med. Dense	10-30	Mst... Stiff	0.5 - 1.0	0.5 - 1.0	4 - 8	T ... Tan ... Gr ... Green	Gr ... Gravel						
De ... Dense	30-50	St ... Stiff	1.0 - 2.0	1.0 - 2.0	8 - 15	R ... Red ... Y ... Yellow	SiS ... Siltstone						
VDe ... Very Dense	>50	VSt... Very Stiff	2.0 - 4.0	2.0 - 4.0	15 - 30	Rdish, Reddish, Wh ... White	SS ... Sandstone						
		H ... Hard	> 4.0	> 4.0	> 30		Sh ... Shale, Shaley						

SAMPLE INTERVAL TEST ASSIGNMENT	SAMPLE NO. Recovery	DEPTH FT.	SAMPLES	STRATUM DESCRIPTION						STANDARD PENETROMETER			UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION	N - VALUE OR HAND PENETROMETER
				CONDITION OR CONSISTENCY	COLOR	MINOR MATERIALS OR ADJECTIVES	PREDOMINATE MATERIAL	CHARACTERISTICS OR MODIFICATIONS	SEAT - 6"	1st - 6"	2nd - 6"			
SM 2'	4'	0-2	0-2	Br Lt Br	Si	Sa	Silty sand, trace clay & roots, trace fine iron ore gravel,				moist (0-2)			
		2-10	2-10	Rd Br, Yllw Br	Si, Gr	Cl	Clay - some silt, trace 1/4" sand, trace coarse iron ore concretions				moist (2-5)			
CL 10'	1.5'	5-10	5-10				- some v.f. sand, ironstone layer @ 6-6.5'				moist (5-10)			
SC-SM 10'	1'	10-15	10-15	Rd Br, Lt Gr	Si Cl	Sa	clayey v.f. to f silty sand with clay in thin lenses, trace cemented clayey sand				v. moist (10-15)			
SM 16'	1.5'	15-20	15-20	Lt. br & Lt. Rd Br			- clay lenses @ 15' (6") - ironstone layer @ 15.5' & cemented sand to 16'				v. moist (15-16)			
SM 40'	3"	20-25	20-25	Br, Lt. Rd Br	Si	Sa	Silty sand - some ironstone - gray @ 20'				Saturated @ 16' to 40'			
	3'	25-30	25-30	Gray			= some cemented clayey sand (only recovery @ 25-30')							
	NR	30-35	30-35											
	NR	35-40	35-40											
							R.T. @ 40'							
							* Split Spoon Driven from 40-41'							
SC 40'	1'	40-41	40-41	Gray, DK Gray	Cl	Sa	clayey sand w/ lenses of cemented sand @ 41.5-41.75' trace gypsum crystals @ 40-41'				v. moist 40-41'			
							* 6-6.5' collected @ 1140							
							* 15.5-16' collected @ 1215							
							* 25-30' collected @ 1230							
							* 40-41' collected @ 1300							

Type ASA Dry Auger  Rotary Wash   
 SEEPAGE @ 16 FT. WHILE DRILLING, W.L. @ \_\_\_\_\_ FT. ON COMPL. (OR) BAILED TO \_\_\_\_\_ FT. UPON COMPLETION.  
 W.L. @ \_\_\_\_\_ FT AND CAVED TO \_\_\_\_\_ FT. ON \_\_\_\_\_.

\* GPS: 32.46544°, -94.49432 (18' W-NW) of AD-28/MW-28

# ATTACHMENT D

## SB-28 Boring Photographic Log

**GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS**  
**Photographic Record**



**Client:** American Electric Power

**Project Number:** CHA8495/12A/02

**Site Name:** H.W. Pirkey Plant WBAP

**Site Location:** Hallsville, Texas

**Photograph 1**

**Date:** 4/21/2020

**Direction:** N/A

**Comments:**  
Multiple sections of core from soil boring SB-28 advanced near downgradient monitoring well AD-28 within the Western Bottom Ash Pond (WBAP) CCR unit. 5-foot pushes were used. Note the reddish color indicating the presence of oxidized iron-bearing minerals.



**Photograph 2**

**Date:** 4/21/2020

**Direction:** N/A

**Comments:**  
0-5 foot interval of SB-28.




**Photograph 3**

**Date:** 4/21/2020

**Direction:** N/A

**Comments:**  
5-10 foot interval of SB-28. Recovery of this interval was limited. A sample was collected from this interval from 6-6.5 ft. below ground surface (bgs).



**Photograph 4**

**Date:** 4/21/2020

**Direction:** N/A

**Comments:**  
10-15 foot interval of SB-28. Recovery of this interval was limited.



**Photograph 5**

**Date:** 4/21/2020

**Direction:** N/A

**Comments:**  
 15-20 foot interval of SB-28. Recovery of this interval was limited. A sample was collected from this interval from 15.5-16 ft. bgs.




**Photograph 6**

**Date:** 4/21/2020

**Direction:** N/A

**Comments:**  
 Field geologist's note indicating that very little of the 20-25 foot interval of SB-28 was recovered.



**Photograph 7**

**Date:** 4/21/2020

**Direction:** N/A

**Comments:**  
25-30 foot interval of SB-28. Very little of this interval was recovered. Note the color change of the soil from red to dark brown/black. A sample was collected from this interval.



**Photograph 8**

**Date:** 4/21/2020

**Direction:** N/A

**Comments:**  
Bottom of SB-28. The boring log indicates no recovery of soil from the 30-40 foot interval. A sample was collected from this interval.








# ATTACHMENT E






## SEM/EDS Analysis

Dr. Bruce Sass  
941 Chatham Lane, Suite 103, Columbus, OH 43221






via Email: [BSass@geosyntec.com](mailto:BSass@geosyntec.com)



Lignite. Backscattered electron micrographs show the sample at 100X, 1,100X, and 1,500X. EDS spectrum at bottom is an area scan of the region shown in top right micrograph. Bright particles are mostly quartz and feldspar. Major peaks for carbon, oxygen, silicon, and aluminum suggest coal and clay.



Sample VAP B3 40-45. Backscattered electron micrographs show the sample at 100X, 250X, 500X, and 3000X. EDS spectrum at bottom is an area scan of the region shown at 500X. Bright particles are pyrite (framboid in bottom right micrograph). Major peaks for carbon, oxygen, silicon, and aluminum suggest coal and clay.



Sample VAP B3 50-55. Backscattered electron micrographs show the sample at 250X, 500X, 1000X, and 3000X. EDS spectrum at bottom is an area scan of the region shown at 3000X. Bright particles are mostly pyrite (framboid in bottom left micrograph); occasional particles of Fe-Ti oxide are detected. Major peaks for oxygen, silicon, and aluminum suggest clay. Large blocky particles are mostly quartz, feldspar, and clay.

# ATTACHMENT F

## Certification by a Qualified Professional Engineer

**CERTIFICATION BY A QUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER**

I certify that the above described alternative source demonstration is appropriate for evaluating the groundwater monitoring data for the CCR management area of the former Pirkey West Bottom Ash Pond and that the requirements of 30 TAC §352.951(e) have been met.

Beth Ann Gross  
Printed Name of Licensed Professional Engineer

*Beth Ann Gross*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature



Geosyntec Consultants  
2039 Centre Pointe Blvd, Suite 103  
Tallahassee, Florida 32308

Texas Registered Engineering Firm  
No. F-1182

79864  
License Number

Texas  
Licensing State

March 26, 2026  
Date